Classification and Monitoring

Workshop of the FAO Reference Centre for Bivalve Mollusc Sanitation Hotel Ole Sereni, Nairobi, Kenya, 11 – 12 November 2019

Rachel Hartnell (Cefas)



Centre for Environment Fisheries & Aquaculture Science



Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations »Cefas

What do we mean by monitoring.....

The **Codex code of practice** refers to monitoring water/bivalves or sediments

Monitoring is the routine way that we can collect evidence for the presence/absence of hazards in a production area

It **cannot replace** risk profiling or the growing area assessment because.....?







Primary and ongoing monitoring, how should we do this....?

From the risk profile er growing area assessment understand the hazard

We have a sampling p

- Growing area identified
- Sampling site identified
- Matrix and species
- Location of sampling points
- Frequency and depth
- Determinands
- Sampling body and authoriz

Primary monitoring helps us to establish a classification and understand necessary controls

May enable us to **modify the sampling plans** for ongoing monitoring

Ongoing monitoring gathers data to **establish and confirm classifications**







Indicators or pathogens for classification....?





Water or bivalve shellfish for classification....?

Could be each species or an 'indicator' species, but representative

Reflect the prevailing concentrations in the water

Should be at least 12-15 animals per sample

Should be collected by the 'normal' method of harvest, but can be collected at low tide Whether water or bivalves, sampling should be:

Existing

- at fixed and identified points
 - representative of the area
- either random or worse case
- frequent enough to reflect changing conditions
- consider health and safety of samplers

sampling

Water sampling usually requires a boat

May be very variable both temporally and spatially, lots of samples and monitoring points needed to account for this

Generally restricted to *E. coli*/faecal coliforms

0810115011







Classification – definition of the area



The stress detrivated above all those plassified as biolove moture: production arous under EU Regulation 854/2004

Further details on the classified species and the areas may be obtained from the responsible Food Authority. Enquines regarding the maps should be directed to: Shelfish Microbiology, CEFA's Weymouth Laboratory, Barrack Roat, The today, Weymouth, Daniel DTA BUB (Tel 01:005.208000 Fax: 01:005.00801).

N.B. LakLange cauted are WG854

Separate map available for Mythus app: and T. decuseetus at Portant Harbour and Peet



Classification - types

Typically classifications split into categories that require,

Requirement may be stipulated by trading partners

If no such requirements exist – define the **public health objective** then decide if criteria need to be **developed** or if existing international criteria can be applied



Classification – Buffer zones

Buffer zones around sources of contamination are **recommended** Buffer zones are **stipulated by some national legislation**/programmes e.g. US National State Sanitation Program (NSSP)

Buffer zones = prohibited areas around a point source or hazard (marinas or other boating activities) Size of the area can be established by:

- Dilution calculations
- Salinity studies
- Drogue studies
- Dye tracing studies
- Using tracers
- Hydrodynamic modelling





Summary - Classification and Monitoring



Codex requires **monitoring** Monitoring provides **evidence** for the presence of **hazards** Monitoring **data** establishes **classifications** Classification allows for **common risk management** and **processing** – enabling industry to plan, whilst **protecting consumers from risk** and **facilitating trade**



Indium edule at the Wash of Kings Lynn and West Norfolk Instanton, Heacham, Thief, Stylemans, Breast Sand and Pandora) oph Council nk, Toft Lays, Gat Sand, Maretail and Welland Wall) instrict Council (Nene)



A classification exercise.....



If we applied an EU classification criteria – both sites conform to class A, 80% samples <230 *E. coli* MPN/100g 20% up to 700 *E. coli* MPN/100g

